
Subject: Single and double derailer

Posted by [Georg Boasson](#) on Fri, 11 Feb 2022 10:14:05 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

A derailer can be of different types. In Norway we differentiate between a derailer connected to one single rail and a derailer connected to both rails operating in parallel. The derailer is defined as one object in the infrastructure asset management. An attribute added in the <derails> might be a possible solution to differentiate between different types of derailers.

Suggestion: New attribute to the <derailerIS> in railML3:

- derailerType: Type of derailer equipment: possible values are single and double
-

Subject: Re: Single and double derailer

Posted by [Georg Boasson](#) on Fri, 11 Feb 2022 12:36:26 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

It seems that our double derailer always is located inside a switch and therefore connected to 2 different tracks, but with the same control (see attached picture). My question will therefore be how to model this double derailer in railML3. Two <switchIS> elements with reference to 1 <switchKL> element?

File Attachments

1) [Double derailer.JPG](#), downloaded 1452 times

Subject: Re: Single and double derailer

Posted by [Martin Zien](#) on Wed, 09 Mar 2022 14:29:38 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

According to our discussion in last work groupmeeting ETCS:

- Introduce an attribute "type" with options "singleDerailer" & "doubleDerailer"
 - A doubleDerailer would then have to be modeled with two (spot)location-entries - each related to the affected netElement
-

Subject: Re: Single and double derailer

Posted by [Georg Boasson](#) on Thu, 10 Mar 2022 08:44:58 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

A solution in UML has been proposed by Jörg von Lingen. See attached file.

File Attachments

1) [Proposed solution.pdf](#), downloaded 244 times

Subject: Re: Single and double derailer

Posted by [Jörg von Lingen](#) on Fri, 11 Mar 2022 01:24:22 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dear all,

with the new type attribute in <derailerIS> I would prefer the solution with one object <derailerIS> and one object <derailerIL>.

```
<!--infrastructure layer -->
<infrastructure>
....
<derailerIS id="dris01" type="doubleDerailer">
  <spotLocation .../>
  <spotLocation .../>
</derailerIS>
....
</infrastructure>

<!--Interlocking layer - ->
<interlocking>
....
<derailerIL id="dril01">
  <refersTo ref="dris01"/>
  <lockedTrack ref="trk231"/>
  <lockedTrack ref="trk232"/>
</derailerIL>
....
</interlocking>
```

Best regards,
Joerg v. Lingen - Interlocking Coordinator

Subject: Re: Single and double derailer

Posted by [christian.rahmig](#) on Fri, 11 Mar 2022 07:57:30 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dear all,

I filed a Git issue for this topic [1].

[1] <https://development.railml.org/railml/version3/-/issues/495>

Best regards
Christian
