
Subject: [railML3]: Code points in routes used for TMS
Posted by [Jörg von Lingen](#) on Fri, 25 Jun 2021 08:26:08 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dear all,

I received a request to introduce Codepoints (and LS-Codepoints): which are fixed points on the infrastructure, primarily associated with driving paths - thus linked to signals. A special type of LS-Codepoints are also used to denote the place where the train identifiers will be displayed on the GUI (berths).

In the discussion it was stated the special type for display of train identifiers can be the already developed "trainNumberField" in interlocking, which refers to "tvdSection" concerning train location.

The code points associated with driving path needs to be added in the route definition in order to identify alternative paths (red line in attached sketch with code points "503" and "509"). The idea was to refer to "trackIL" elements as code points from the route.

Questions to you:

1) Would this fulfil your needs? Remember "trackIL" refers to "track" in IS, which is a part of a "netElement".

2) Would you need code points at route entry and route exit, as well?

3) Would you require for the code points to refer only to a spotLocation instead of a linearLocation like "track" has?

If so, what kind of infrastructure element shall be referred to?

Your opinions on the issue are welcome.

--

Regards,
Jörg von Lingen - Interlocking Coordinator

Subject: Re: [railML3]: Code points in routes used for TMS
Posted by [Milan Völke](#) on Mon, 19 Jul 2021 17:37:11 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hi Jörg,

could you please point out the relation to timetable (if that's not exactly what's included in the attachment). As I understand it, we are talking about points that are static and depend on

infrastructure and interlocking, rather than varying between one train on a route and the next.

Best regards,
Milan

Subject: Re: [railML3]: Code points in routes used for TMS
Posted by [Milan Wölke](#) on Mon, 07 Mar 2022 18:02:05 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hallo Jörg,

angesichts der Tatsache, dass es hier keine Rückmeldungen mehr gab, gehe ich davon aus, dass dieses Thema auf anderem Wege bearbeitet und abgeschlossen wurde.

in light of the fact that there was no more feedback here, I assume that this issue has been resolved and closed by other means.

Best regards, Milan
