

---

Subject: [railML3]: keyLocksIL and ownsLogicalDevice  
Posted by [Dominik Looser](#) on Tue, 20 Oct 2020 11:28:27 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Dear all,

in RailML3.1, the interlocking complexType keyLocksIL not only has a child keyLockIL, but also another child ownsLogicalDevice:

```
<xs:element name="ownsLogicalDevice" type="rail3:LogicalDevice" minOccurs="1"
maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
```

We have tried several possibilities on what to fill into this ownsLogicalDevice child, e.g.:

```
<keyLocksIL>
  <keyLockIL id="kloIL8">
    <refersTo ref="klo8"/>
  </keyLockIL>
  <ownsLogicalDevice id="old_temp_id"/>
</keyLocksIL>
```

Since all other attributes and subelements of ownsLogicalDevice are optional, this should be sufficient from our understanding, but the xml-validation always fails with:

269:46 cvc-type.2: The type definition cannot be abstract for element ownsLogicalDevice.

We would be thankful if someone could post a valid example for keyLocksIL.

Thank you and best regards,  
Dominik Looser  
trafit solutions gmbh

---

---

Subject: Re: [railML3]: keyLocksIL and ownsLogicalDevice  
Posted by [Dominik Looser](#) on Tue, 20 Oct 2020 11:45:50 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I have just realized that I have created this topic in the wrong forum. Can someone move this to the Interlocking-newsgroup? Thank you and my apologies.

---

---

Subject: Re: [railML3]: keyLocksIL and ownsLogicalDevice  
Posted by [Joerg von Lingen](#) on Sun, 01 Nov 2020 06:18:03 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Dear all,

the element <keylockIL> was until this summer not in the focus of any user. Thus we didn't discover the failure in the schema file before. This was corrected in file <https://www.railml.org/schemas/3.1/interlocking3.xsd>.

During the refactoring to change the way of polymorphism probably one line did remain. It was line 2017:

```
<xs:element name="ownsLogicalDevice" type="rail3:LogicalDevice" minOccurs="1" maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
```

With this line in the schema an element of an abstract data type is required, which is not really possible.

In case you are not validating against the online schema you shall check to have the correct schema (railML-3.1.zip) downloaded from railML.org.

Best regards,  
Joerg v. Lingen - Rollingstock Coordinator

Am 20.10.2020 um 13:28 schrieb Dominik Looser:

```
> Dear all,  
>  
> in RailML3.1, the interlocking complexType keyLocksIL not  
> only has a child keyLockIL, but also another child  
> ownsLogicalDevice:  
> <xs:element name="ownsLogicalDevice"  
> type="rail3:LogicalDevice" minOccurs="1"  
> maxOccurs="unbounded"/>  
>  
> We have tried several possibilites on what to fill into this  
> ownsLogicalDevice child, e.g.:  
>  
>  
> <keyLocksIL>  
>   <keyLockIL id="kloIL8">  
>     <refersTo ref="klo8"/>  
>   </keyLockIL>  
>   <ownsLogicalDevice id="old_temp_id"/>  
> </keyLocksIL>  
>  
>  
> Since all other attributes and subelements of  
> ownsLogicalDevice are optional, this should be sufficient  
> from our understanding, but the xml-validation always fails  
> with:  
>  
> 269:46 cvc-type.2: The type definition cannot be abstract
```

> for element ownsLogicalDevice.  
>  
> We would be thankful if someone could post a valid example  
> for keyLocksIL.  
>  
> Thank you and best regards,  
> Dominik Looser  
> trafif solutions gmbh

---

---

Subject: Re: [railML3]: keyLocksIL and ownsLogicalDevice  
Posted by [Dominik Looser](#) on Mon, 02 Nov 2020 07:41:35 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Dear all,

with the updated xsd-file, the validation now works perfectly.

Thank you for the support and best regards,  
Dominik Looser  
trafit solutions gmbh

---