
Subject: Consolidated Use Cases List

Posted by [Ferri Leberl](#) on Mon, 10 Sep 2018 13:10:49 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dear All,

There has been a discussion whether to consolidate the UC lists or whether to stick to the current solution with separate UC lists per subschema.

As a demonstration, I have made up a consolidated list which can be found under

https://wiki.railml.org/index.php?title=UC:Use_cases/table

Currently, both this list and the lists by subschema are linked under

https://wiki.railml.org/index.php?title=UC:Use_cases#Lists_of_Use_Cases_per_subschema

The coordinator would prefer to go on with the consolidated list as it is more efficient to maintain and offers a broader view. However, the consolidated list is more difficult to overlook.

We want to avoid offering both the consolidated list and the existing ones for maintenance reasons.

Can we declare it a consent to skip the old lists and keep the consolidated one?

Thank you for your responses.

Ferri Leberl

Subject: Re: Consolidated Use Cases List

Posted by [Joerg von Lingen](#) on Sun, 16 Sep 2018 12:37:15 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Thanks for collecting the data to one table.

1) One overview would be useful as most UC will be not limited to one subschema, e.g. IL will always need basic IS description.

2) The new table shows a problem of (duplicate) changes at different places. The list of IL-UC in this table does not match the IL-specific list.

3) I would prefer the consolidated list as the only one. "Filtering" can be done by the sorting of columns.

Best regards,

Joerg v. Lingen

Interlocking/Rollingstock Coordinator

On 10/09/2018 15:10, Ferri Leberl wrote:

> Dear All,

>

> There has been a discussion whether to consolidate the UC

> lists or whether to stick to the current solution with

> separate UC lists per subschema.

> As a demonstration, I have made up a consolidated list which

> can be found under
> https://wiki.railml.org/index.php?title=UC:Use_cases/table
> Currently, both this list and the lists by subschema are
> linked under
> https://wiki.railml.org/index.php?title=UC:Use_cases#Lists_of_Use_Cases_per_subschema
>
>
> The coordinator would prefer to go on with the consolidated
> list as it is more efficient to maintain and offers a
> broader view. However, the consolidated list is more
> difficult to overlook.
> We want to avoid offering both the consolidated list and the
> existing ones for maintenance reasons.
>
> Can we declare it a consent to skip the old lists and keep
> the consolidated one?
>
> Thank you for your responses.
> Ferri Leberl

Subject: Re: Consolidated Use Cases List
Posted by [Ferri Leberl](#) on Wed, 10 Oct 2018 14:07:10 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Thanks for your response.
I have imported the current IL-List into the consolidated list and replaced the subschema lists with redirects to the consolidated list.
As to me, the topic is closed.
Yours,
Ferri Leberl
