
Subject: [railML3.1|Simple Example] Errors
Posted by [Felix Prüter](#) on Fri, 06 Jul 2018 12:58:35 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dear all,
validating the Simple Example xml for railML v3.1 raised a list of errors:
elements called *Ref (like platformRef) are used but not allowed by the schema (the corresponding element is written w/o the Ref suffix) etcsSignal (element of signal) should be etcs conforming to the schema speedSignal (element of signal) is not allowed by the schema at all, although mentioned in the corresponding html documentation in the SVN [1] --> clash between xsd and UML model / documentation?
[1] <https://svn.railml.org/railML3/tags/railML-3.1-beta>

Kind regards

Subject: Re: [railML3.1|Simple Example] Errors
Posted by [christian.rahmig](#) on Mon, 06 Aug 2018 14:04:38 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dear Felix,

again I am little bit confused about the source of data you used.
However, I am going to investigate on this and let you know about my findings.

Best regards
Christian

Am 06.07.2018 um 14:58 schrieb Felix Prüter:

> Dear all,
> validating the Simple Example xml for railML v3.1 raised a
> list of errors:
> elements called *Ref (like platformRef) are used but not
> allowed by the schema (the corresponding element is written
> w/o the Ref suffix) etcsSignal (element of signal) should be
> etcs conforming to the schema speedSignal (element of
> signal) is not allowed by the schema at all, although
> mentioned in the corresponding html documentation in the SVN
> [1] --> clash between xsd and UML model / documentation?
> [1] <https://svn.railml.org/railML3/tags/railML-3.1-beta>
>
> Kind regards

--

Christian Rahmig - Infrastructure scheme coordinator
railML.org (Registry of Associations: VR 5750)

Subject: Re: [railML3.1|Simple Example] Errors
Posted by [Felix Prüter](#) on Fri, 17 Aug 2018 10:58:55 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dear Christian,
the example file I investigated is named railML_SimpleExample_railML3-1-beta_171211.xml.

But I agree that this does not fit to the model version I referenced in the opening post.

Seems that I mixed things up.

I will check the ostensible errors in the latest version (trunk of rev818).

Subject: Re: [railML3.1|Simple Example] Errors
Posted by [Felix Prüter](#) on Fri, 07 Sep 2018 07:01:00 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dear Christian, dear all,
sorry for the confusion.
I checked the latest example file called railML.org_SimpleExample_v10_railML3-1_01.xml in
rev834.
Of course, the validation against the corresponding schema files succeeded w/o errors.
