
Subject: Harmonize rolenames for association between *Location and *AssociatedNetElement

Posted by [Felix Prüter](#) on Tue, 03 Jul 2018 06:00:38 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dear RTM-Community,

by studying the "new" RTMv1.1 UML Location package I recognized a mismatch in rolenames.

The rolename of OrderedAssociatedNetElement(s) for a LinearLocation is associatedElements

The rolenname of AssociatedNetElement(s) for an AreaLocation is associatedNetElements

Do you agree that this should be harmonizes for "code styling reasons"?

Kind regards

Subject: Re: Harmonize rolenames for association between *Location and *AssociatedNetElement

Posted by [Airy Magnien](#) on Thu, 12 Jul 2018 14:08:13 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

In principle yes (if I may paraphrase Radio Yerevan).

In practice, there may be an even more compelling reason for harmonizing, as the class Location::OrderedAssociatedNetElement is very likely to disappear from the next minor version (RTM 1.2), in favour of an ordered relation with AssociatedNetElement. This change should be confirmed shortly.

Subject: Re: Harmonize rolenames for association between *Location and *AssociatedNetElement

Posted by [Felix Prüter](#) on Thu, 12 Jul 2018 18:11:36 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Ok.

I will wait for that.

Subject: Re: Harmonize rolenames for association between *Location and *AssociatedNetElement

Posted by [christian.rahmig](#) on Mon, 06 Aug 2018 13:59:15 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dear Felix,

from railML side I agree with you in having both rolenames synchronized as "associatedNetElements".

Best regards

Christian

--

Christian Rahmig - Infrastructure scheme coordinator
railML.org (Registry of Associations: VR 5750)
Phone Coordinator: +49 173 2714509; railML.org: +49 351 47582911
Altplauen 19h; 01187 Dresden; Germany www.railml.org

Am 03.07.2018 um 08:00 schrieb Felix Prüter:

- > Dear RTM-Community,
- > by studying the "new" RTMv1.1 UML Location package I
- > recognized a mismatch in rolenames.
- > The rolename of OrderedAssociatedNetElement(s) for a
- > LinearLocation is associatedElements
- > The rolename of AssociatedNetElement(s) for an AreaLocation
- > is associatedNetElements
- >
- > Do you agree that this should be harmonizes for "code
- > styling reasons"?
- >
- > Kind regards

Subject: Re: Harmonize rolenames for association between *Location and *AssociatedNetElement

Posted by [Airy Magnien](#) on Thu, 16 Aug 2018 08:24:38 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

This one is taken on board for the next release, together with a more significant change regarding linear locations.

Subject: Re: Harmonize rolenames for association between *Location and *AssociatedNetElement

Posted by [christian.rahmig](#) on Mon, 17 Sep 2018 11:41:34 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dear RTM Export Group,

Am 16.08.2018 um 10:24 schrieb Airy Magnien:

- > This one is taken on board for the next release, together
- > with a more significant change regarding linear locations.

can you please clarify your decision on this issue:

* Which future version (1.2 or 2) are you talking about?

* Will the suggested change be implemented with that new version or will

it be a matter of discussion then?

Thank you very much and best regards
Christian

--

Christian Rahmig - Infrastructure scheme coordinator
railML.org (Registry of Associations: VR 5750)
Phone Coordinator: +49 173 2714509; railML.org: +49 351 47582911
Altplauen 19h; 01187 Dresden; Germany www.railml.org
