Subject: role names on association ends Posted by Felix Prüter on Thu, 23 Jun 2016 13:16:45 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hello.

I assume that all names on associations on the diagrams are role names.

If true, all these names should have a sigular or plural ending corresponding the related multiplicity.

e.g.

SpotLocation --> PositioningNetElement: 1 netElement LinearLocation --> PositioningNetElement: 1..* netElements

ComposuitionNetElement --> ElementPartCollection: 0..* elementCollections

Kind regards Felix

SIGNON Deutschland GmbH

Subject: Re: role names on association ends Posted by christian.rahmig on Mon, 27 Jun 2016 08:54:22 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Dear Felix.

Am 23.06.2016 um 18:18 schrieb Felix Prüter:

- > Hello.
- > I assume that all names on associations on the diagrams are
- > role names.
- > If true, all these names should have a sigular or plural
- > ending corresponding the related multiplicity.
- > e.a.
- > SpotLocation --> PositioningNetElement: 1 netElement
- > LinearLocation --> PositioningNetElement: 1..* netElements
- > ComposuitionNetElement --> ElementPartCollection: 0..*
- > elementCollections
- > [...]

Your assumption is correct. And we should keep it the same in the all the diagrams. However, in railML3 UML, the role name always describes one instance. For the XSD export, this results then in sth like this:

```
<netElement ref="..." />
    <netElement ref="..." />
</linearLocation>
```

Here, netElement is the UML role name.

Best regards Christian

--

Christian Rahmig railML.infrastructure coordinator

Subject: Re: role names on association ends Posted by Felix Prüter on Mon, 27 Jun 2016 12:00:20 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hi Chrisitian,

> And we should keep it the same in the all the diagrams.

So the singular form should be used everywhere!?

Regards Felix

Subject: Re: role names on association ends Posted by Alain Jeanmaire on Fri, 07 Oct 2016 08:57:04 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Dear Felix,

to complete Christian's answer:

--> the best way is to use the singular or plural in accordance with the cardinality

Regards, Alain Jeanmaire/Gilles Dessagne SNCF Réseau