
Subject: non-timetable blockParts with track occupancy and train coupling
Posted by [Andreas Tanner](#) on Mon, 06 Jun 2016 14:05:45 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dear community,
the standard currently does not allow <blockPart>s with referenced <trainPart>s with any <mission> other than timetable. This means that these <blockPart>s cannot have track information (as there is no <ocpTT>, only <startOcp> and <endOcp>), nor can the fact that they come coupled with other <blockPart>s be exported in railML.

Is there any best practice to circumvent that problem? We consider using an <other:myShuntingMissionType> and referencing <trainPart>s, and using the <trainPart> category to identify non-trains (that is, shunting trips etc.)

Best regards, Andreas.

Subject: Re: non-timetable blockParts with track occupancy and train coupling
Posted by _____ on Wed, 15 Jun 2016 09:45:16 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dear Andreas,

"mission=timetable" does not necessarily mean that it has to be a train in the German operational sense. There is no 'hard' accepted definition to distinguish between 'train operation' and 'shunting movement'.

Regarding railML, any scheduled operation will fit here - so, a shunting operation will also do:

- use "mission=timetable",
- use the <trainPart> category to identify shunting movements,
- use elements and attributes of such <trainPart>s as needed.

Please do not use <other:myShuntingMissionType> since this would weak the standard in a case which is rather "normal" or "often".

Does this answer your question or did I possibly not understand the question rightly?

Best regards,
Dirk.

Am 06.06.2016 um 16:05 schrieb Andreas Tanner:

- > Dear community,
- > the standard currently does not allow <blockPart>s with referenced

- > <trainPart>s with any <mission> other than timetable. This means that
 - > these <blockPart>s cannot have track information (as there is no
 - > <ocpTT>, only <startOcp> and <endOcp>), nor can the fact that they come
 - > coupled with other <blockPart>s be exported in railML.
 - >
 - > Is there any best practice to circumvent that problem? We consider using
 - > an <other:myShuntingMissionType> and referencing <trainPart>s, and using
 - > the <trainPart> category to identify non-trains (that is, shunting trips
 - > etc.)
 - >
 - > Best regards, Andreas.
-