
Subject: new attribute on the vehicle element
Posted by [horst.naujoks](#) on Mon, 16 Sep 2013 15:28:54 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dear all,

I'm a developer at Qnamic AG
(<http://http://www.railml.org//index.php/entwickler.html?show=35>) and
we using RailML in different contexts in our products.

I'd like to submit the following extension to the railML infrastructure
schema:

The element <vehicle> should be completed by an new optional attribute
'uicVehicleNumber'. The
whole purpose of this number is documented here:
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/UIC_wagon_numbers.
The new attribute supposed as an explicit supplement to the existing
id/code/name attribute facility. It
should support a better data exchange in systems which share this number.

Today, we already employ this attribute by the usage of a schema extension
(as an 'any' attribute)
and of course we'd like to replace this construct by an explicitly
specified attribute.

Kind Regards,

Horst Naujoks

--

----- posted via PHP Headliner -----

Subject: Re: new attribute on the vehicle element
Posted by [Susanne Wunsch railML](#) on Tue, 17 Sep 2013 22:46:52 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hello Horst,

I move the request to the rollingstock group. The 'vehicle' element is
part of the rollingstock sub-schema. I'm sure, Jörg (RS coordinator)
will provide some feedback. He already worked on parts of the UIC wagon
classification.

horst.naujoks@qnamic.com (Horst Naujoks) writes:

> Dear all,

>
> I'm a developer at Qnamic AG
> (<http://http://www.railml.org//index.php/entwickler.html?show=35>) and
> we using RailML in different contexts in our products.
>
> I'd like to submit the following extension to the railML
> infrastructure schema:
>
> The element <vehicle> should be completed by an new optional attribute
> 'uicVehicleNumber'. The whole purpose of this number is documented
> here: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/UIC_wagon_numbers. The new
> attribute supposed as an explicit supplement to the existing
> id/code/name attribute facility. It should support a better data
> exchange in systems which share this number.
>
> Today, we already employ this attribute by the usage of a schema
> extension (as an 'any' attribute) and of course we'd like to replace
> this construct by an explicitly specified attribute.
>
> Kind Regards,
>
> Horst Naujoks

Crosspost & Followup-To: railML.rollingstock

Kind regards...
Susanne

--
Susanne Wunsch
Schema Coordinator: railML.common
