

---

Subject: <branchConnection>

Posted by [Matthias Hengartner](#) on Tue, 13 Apr 2004 11:08:33 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Hello,

I have another question about the <trackTopology>-part of the scheme.

In the current version of the scheme, we have the <branchConnection>-element as child of a element of connectionType (<trackBegin>, <trackEnd> or <switch>). <branchConnection> refers via the branchIDRef to another connectionTyped-element.

Wouldn't it be more practical if a <branchConnection> refers to another <branchConnection>? I even think this is a must, at least if we want to allow a switch to have more than one branch. And it probably would smooth the way for including crossings in a later version of the scheme.

Thank you for your comments.

Matthias Hengartner

---