

Dear Bob,

thank you for your message and also for introducing yourself to the reading community. As the railML infrastructure coordinator I welcome you to the railML forum.

Concerning your idea:

Am 29.05.2013 11:29, schrieb Bob Janssen:

> Dear all,  
>  
> the tag infraAttrGroups is a container for pre-defined infrastructure  
> attributes. One would use it to mark e.g. all tracks that have a common  
> property, e.g. traction 25kV or similar gauge.  
>  
> In a way, this is similar to unix groups. A user belongs to group "lp,  
> printer, scanner group".  
> I think this is the idea behind infraAttrGroups. At present, <track> has  
> an attribute infraAttrGroupRef. In other words, it is not possible to  
> create a relation between one <track> and more than one <infraAttrGroup>.  
> If a track points to more than one infraAttrGroups, we could emulate the  
> unix-style group usage. This implies that <track> needs a 0..n children  
> <infraAttrGroupRef>  
>  
> How about it ?!

I was waiting few days to see if other users will comment on it. I personally like your idea very much. And I think, that others would agree if they really used the infraAttrGroups. By changing the reference to such an infraAttrGroup from the <track>'s attribute "infraAttrGroupRef" to a child element <infraAttrGroupRef> the infraAttrGroup may become more usable in practice.

Therefore, I would like to ask the other users: What do you think about Bob's idea? Let's implement it for railML 2.3? Any comments appreciated...

>  
> ps. this is my first and probably not my last contribution !

I am looking forward to your next idea :)

Regards

--

