Subject: Re: trainProtectionElement, ETCS and balises
Posted by   on Mon, 03 Dec 2012 08:57:28 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

> Alternatively, I suggest to define two separate lists for listing train
> protection systems. The first one focuses on the train protection system
> device installed to the train...

+1

> Maybe we should rename it "tNationalSystemsTypeForVehicle"?

+1

> The second list "tNationalSystemsTypeOnRail" might be shorter because of
> discarding values such as 'IndusiXY' and summarizing them to 'PZB'.

+1 but please name it "tNationalSystemsTypeAtTrack" or "...Lineside" (the
first might be regarded exactly but the second one is usual in UK).

> "tNationalSystemsTypeOnRail" might be shorter because of discarding
> values such as 'IndusiXY' and summarizing them to 'PZB'.

For the sake of completeness: You leave the knowledge which
tNationalSystemsTypeAtTrack works with which tNationalSystemsTypeOnRail up
to the programmes. From my side, this is ok so far. For completeness, we
could include into to <interlocking> scheme or possibly in a separate
scheme the linkage and function of the train protection systems.

Best regards,
Dirk.