

---

Subject: small issues on "register" and "tLineInfrastructureManagerCode"

Posted by on Mon, 12 Nov 2012 12:09:32 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Dear Christian,

<ocp>.<designator>.register is of type string.  
<line>.infrastructureManagerCode is of type tLineInfrastructureManagerCode  
(enumeration).

Both are of the same kind, so may be both should be harmonised in type  
(either string or enumeration).

In Wiki, the following enumerations for <ocp>.<designator>.register are  
defined so far:

- > Currently predefined 'register' enumeration entries:- ENEE Station code
- > of ENEE database managed by UIC.- IBNR ... (EFZ)...- DS100 German
- > "Betriebsstellenkürzel" ...
- > - DB640 Austrian "Dienstbehelf No. 640" ...
- > - DIDOK Swiss "Dienststellendokumentation" of Schweizerische
- > Bundesbahnen on behalf of Bundesamt für Verkehr."

In the XSD at an annotation it is written "e.g. IBNR, DB640, Ril100,  
DIDOK". Please harmonise the XSD with Wiki (not Ril100 but DS100).

If tLineInfrastructureManagerCode keeps to be an enumeration: Please  
extend tLineInfrastructureManagerCode with ThE, EVB and other known  
European IM. Would it be advisable - for political correctness - to use  
some kind of 'official' abbreviation like "DB Netz AG", wouldn't it?

```
<xs:enumeration value="DB-Netz" />  
<xs:enumeration value="Infrabel" />  
<xs:enumeration value="ROeEE" />  
<xs:enumeration value="ÖBB-Infra" />  
<xs:enumeration value="SBB-Infrastruktur" />  
<xs:enumeration value="BLS-Netz" />  
<xs:enumeration value="SŽDC" />
```

Thank you,  
Dirk.

---