Posted by David Lichti on Fri, 14 Jan 2022 08:00:37 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

We discussed this in the TT Developer group. While possession management is not a prime concern for all TT users, it is still relevant in some degree to most. For some, it is enough to have a basic object that can be referenced from train schedules. So it should be possible to include possessions without too much details about activities. Others do detailed possession management throughout their entire planning and/or implementation phase.

While it may not be reasonable to integrate all possible life cycle states of all capacity objects into one single, common enumeration, it would definitely be good to use similar wordings and definitions wherever these use cases have similar stages. It should be kept in mind, that the life cycle of a capacity object is not always a linear process. Capacity requests may be cancelled, rejected or amended, resulting in an iterative process.

for the TT Dev Group

David Lichti