
Subject: Re: [railML2] extension suggestion for the element <state> for working zones

Posted by [christian.rahmig](#) on Fri, 21 May 2021 12:05:55 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dear Thomas, dear Torben,

following your remarks I come to the following conclusions:

1) A <state> should have an identifier or designator to make it adressable and usable e.g. within graphical representations. Currently, a <state> has no ID and no designator.

2) Connecting an "additional running time" with a state of an infrastructure element (e.g. a track) seems to be more some kind of a workaround instead of a proper solution. Why not using an <area> element to explicitly define a construction area, that causes additional running times?

What does the rest of the community think about it?

Best regards
Christian

PS: The whole issue is filed in Trac ticket #395 (<https://trac.railml.org/ticket/395>)
