
Subject: Re: Semantic constraints for <upTime>

Posted by [Vasco Paul Kolmorgen](#) on Thu, 25 Mar 2021 14:39:52 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dear all!

Am 25.02.2020 um 10:46 schrieb Torben Brand:

> Excellent! This explains a lot! Could you post the
> definitions to the wiki?

As the semantic constraints IS:008, IS:009 and IS:010 are in the railML's wiki (<https://wiki2.railml.org/index.php?title=IS:uptime>) since more than a year without objection I suggest to set the status of these to "accepted".

Please let us know up to April 15th, if this is not okay for you.

Best regards,

--

Vasco Paul Kolmorgen - Governance Coordinator

railML.org (Registry of Associations: VR 5750)

Phone railML.org: +49 351 47582911

Altplauen 19h; 01187 Dresden; Germany www.railML.org
