Subject: Re: [railML2] extend <formation> with the attribute @load Posted by Joerg von Lingen on Sun, 22 Nov 2020 11:37:25 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Dear all,

the @load attribute is a good example to stress again - in rollingstock always the physical possible values are defined, in timetable the actual use or planned use is defined. In the attached picture the first line shows the partially loaded wagons - this is TT. The lower line shows the completely loaded wagons this is RS.

Best regards,

Joerg v. Lingen - Rollingstock Coordinator

Am 27.10.2020 um 21:41 schrieb Torben Brand:

> Dear railML-community,

>

- > In the Norwegian railway sector we would like to use
- > predefined formations for usage in the timetable. For this
- > purpose we would also like to use formations with real
- > referenced vehicle, but with virtual wagons and payload.
- > This is possible today in railML2.4, but is cumbersome as
- > you can only define the complete formations weight with
- > payload with usage of formation@bruttoWeight. We would like
- > to be able to define only the towed weight of the wagons and
- > payload, excluding the locomotive. This in the same manner
- > as possible in formationTT@load.

>

File Attachments
1) load.jpg, downloaded 275 times

Page 1 of 1 ---- Generated from Forum