Dear Mr. Tiihonen,

Thank you for your enquiries to RailML.infrastructure.

Tuomas Tiihonen wrote:
> I would appreciate some infrastructure semantics explanations.

On behalf of the infrastructure coordinator I will try to answer some of

> Are the translations only supported in OCP (in additionalName)?
> Is there possibility to define language code for OCP's default name?

We added a ticket in our SVN (http://trac2.assembla.com/railML/ticket/121)
and will integrate additional names and language codes on short notice in
RailML's version 2.1.

> Is there possibility to give timezone for OCP?

We added a ticket in our SVN (http://trac2.assembla.com/railML/ticket/120)
and will integrate time zones on short notice in RailML's version 2.1.

> Is there possibility to define OCP (if it is a station) to have platforms
> and those platforms different segments?

We added a ticket in our SVN (http://trac2.assembla.com/railML/ticket/122)
and had an intense discussion about this. To avoid an incompatible
extension of RailML due to the wide range of facilities beside a track,

version 2.1. We will see the discussion and remarks of the users within
the next weeks.

> How about side of a platform in relation to train?

The platforms are defined with their properties (e.g. with platformsides)

in RailML.timetable. The reading programme may combine this information

> Could OCP have different heads (heads could be used for resolving
> orientation of the train in relation to stop)? So that line would link
> from ocpA head1 to ocpB head2.

Would you be so kind to specify this question?

> Is the OCP->number unique?

No. Please do not use this value anymore, because it's deprecated. You may use OCP->code instead of this.

Best regards,

Dipl.-Ing. Vasco Paul Kolmorgen

Telephone: +49-351-46676939
Zeunerstrasse 1; D-01069 Dresden   www.railml.org

--
----== posted via PHP Headliner ==----