
Subject: Re: forgotten attribute <operatingPeriod> @dayOffset and its future
Posted by [Thomas Nygreen](#) on Tue, 10 Mar 2020 12:15:01 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Den 09.03.2020 10:08, skrev Dirk Bräuer:

>> No problem of technology from my side, but as I said, there are several infrastructure managers who do not allow it in their data models.

>

> So again: We can live without the attribute @dayOffset at <operatingPeriod>, but that means allowing departureDay<>0 at first <ocpTT>. This is less redundancy but more incompatibility to other data models of infrastructure managers who expect departureDay=!0 at first <ocpTT>.

There will always be data models out there that differ from the railML data model. As long as we can reflect the information that those data models need, I don't see a problem. In this case, a system with a native data model that differs from railML can simply add/subtract/shift on import/export. Creating redundancy in the model to be closer to more native data models makes import interfaces more complicated for everyone.

Best regards,

Thomas Nygreen - Common schema coordinator, railML.org
