
Subject: Re: Suggested extension for operating rules
Posted by [christian.rahmig](#) on Fri, 06 Dec 2019 19:54:03 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dear Torben,

thank you for your detailed proposal on introducing "operating rules" in the railML standard. Like you, I am very interested in opinions from the community...

As infrastructure coordinator I have to add the following (central) question:

Are operating rules correctly located within the infrastructure scheme? Or do they belong to an own (not yet existing) scheme?

To answer this question, we should look at the references to existing elements and schemes: Your example shows that operating rules are referenced by infrastructure elements, where they apply. How about references from timetable and interlocking? If such references exist, operating rules should be placed in the common part of the schema.

Best regards
Christian
