Subject: Re: [railML3] Mandatory <length> element for <track>s
Posted by christian.rahmig on Mon, 02 Sep 2019 10:05:30 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Dear Christian,

Am 29.08.2019 um 17:16 schrieb Christian Rößiger:
> [...]
> If the <length> property must actually be mandatory, because it is
> required for the calculation of positions, then it should also be forced
> in the scheme that it is the structural length of the <track>, which
> must also be identical for both directions.

Thank you for your input on this discussion.

I think it is not guaranteed that <length> is always ment to address
physical lengths in each use case. For example, the
RINF/NetworkStatement use case [1] will be more likely to work with
usable lengths only.

So, considering these use case specific modelling issues I assume that
you want to have <length> becoming optional?
@all: what is your opinion on this?

[1] https://wiki.railml.org/index.php?title=UC:IS:NetworkStateme nt

Best regards
Christian

--
Christian Rahmig - Infrastructure scheme coordinator
railML.org (Registry of Associations: VR 5750)
Phone Coordinator: +49 173 2714509; railML.org: +49 351 47582911
Altplauen 19h; 01187 Dresden; Germany   www.railml.org