Subject: Re: extension of <levelCrossing> in railML2.4nor Posted by christian.rahmig on Tue, 16 Apr 2019 18:25:37 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Dear Janne,

Am 10.04.2019 um 11:23 schrieb Janne Möller:

- > [...] the element <levelCrossing> has been extended for norwegian
- > usage. In this forum post I would like to describe the
- > changes that were made like announced in: [1]
- > The following adjustments have been made [...]

Thank you for your input w.r.t. <levelCrossing> element. I will comment on the different proposals one by one:

- > The attributes @pos and @absPos for <levelCrossing> are
- > defined in the following way:
- > @pos: This attribute is used to store the measured centre
- > position of the level crossing along the track. This does
- > not necessarily have to be the actual middle between the
- > road borders.
- > @absPos: This attribute is used to store the original
- > position of the level crossing that is provided in Banedata
- > (database on norwegian railway network).

The @pos attribute stores a measurable distance of an object from <trackBegin>. Your proposal to use the level crossing center as reference point sounds reasonable to me. What does the rest of the community think about it?

For the definition of the @absPos attribute we need to be more generic (not mentioning Banedata...). My proposal for @absPos: This is the position of the level crossing (center) in the railway line kilometer reference system (mileage). What do you think?

- > Furthermore we introduced to new attributes which define the
- > start and end position of the level crossing precisely. With
- > this information the length of the element can be
- > calculated.
- > @nor:roadStartPos: This attribute is used to store the
- > measured start position of the road the railway track is
- > crossing. It is measured along the track from <trackBegin>,
- > similar to the attribute @pos.
- > @nor:roadEndPos: see above, but end position
- >
- > As stated above, the length of the level crossing can be
- > calculated by using the newly defined attributes making it
- > therefore unnecessary to use @length.

No objections against introducing the extension attributes @nor:roadStartPos and @nor:roadEndPos and their definitions, but the last statement is not correct: in railML you are free to define extensions using the "any anchor points" (element, attribute, enumeration value) as long as the content expressed in this extension is not already included in the existing railML model. Of course you can calculate a length as difference between @nor:roadStartPos and @nor:roadEndPos, but you must not leave the @length attribute empty and refer to the new attributes.

So, here is my proposal: keep your extension attributes, calculate the length from them, and then store this length information in the existing attribute <levelCrossing>@length. It might look like a redundancy to you, but for all others not following your extension proposal, the situation is clear.

From this solution we can derive the following action item w.r.t. documentation of railML element <levelCrossing>: It has to be mentioned that @pos refers to the level crossing center and that the @length of the level crossing need to be projected 50% before the @pos and 50% behind the @pos value. This is important to mention, because this approach differs from the existing way of modelling elements with a length (e.g. tunnels). So, before we put this into a Trac ticket, let me ask the community for their opinions.

- > The attribute @dir is also not used for the element. Here I
- > refer to this forum post: [2], in which it us suggested to
- > deprecate @dir for <levelCrossing> among other elements.

If we want to mark the @dir attribute DEPRECATED for selected elements, we have to define some rules for this approach.

My proposal: the @dir attribute represents an "application direction" describing a direction of travel, for which the element has to be considered:

* Example 1: a <signal> has a clear application direction as you can see its lights only from one side.

* Example 2: the <levelCrossing> is always there, no matter from which direction you come.

I am very interested in the feedback of the community on this approach...

Best regards Christian

--

Christian Rahmig - Infrastructure scheme coordinator railML.org (Registry of Associations: VR 5750)

Page 3 of 3 ---- Generated from Forum