
Subject: Re: swithType "interlacedSwitch" in IL
Posted by [christian.rahmig](#) on Mon, 28 Jan 2019 10:10:57 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dear Jörg,
dear all,

Am 16.01.2019 um 12:21 schrieb Joerg von Lingen:

> [...]
> in the IL schema we have currently the possibility to mark a switch as type "interlacedSwitch"
with relation to another
> switch because they both might have a dependency for interlocking operation. This particular
type is currently not
> foreseen in IS at all. Do we need this type?
> [...]

it seems that there is no need for the "interlaced switch" at the
moment. Thus, I recommend to skip this topic for railML 3.1.

Best regards
Christian

--

Christian Rahmig - Infrastructure scheme coordinator
railML.org (Registry of Associations: VR 5750)
Phone Coordinator: +49 173 2714509; railML.org: +49 351 47582911
Altplauen 19h; 01187 Dresden; Germany www.railml.org
