
Subject: Re: Rollingstock - modelling patterns
Posted by [Joerg von Lingen](#) on Thu, 22 Nov 2018 12:30:34 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Thanks Dirk for your input. I fully agree.

Regards,
Jörg v.Lingen - Rollingstock coordinator

Dirk Bräuer wrote on 22.11.2018 10:23:

> Dear Jörg,

>

>> 1) What do you think about hierarchy issue concerning a perspective RS in railML3?

>

> I think a flat hierarchy is not more practical especially in a very 'technical' context. I already have often the problem of needing to 'jump' very often in the railML files (when reading manually) to resolve references. Sometimes I loose overview...

>

> So, I would prefer the rather deep but contextual hierarchy we already have.

>

> Additionally, when I made the suggestion of a possible generic model for future <TT> (with a very flat hierarchy), it was widely refused because of too less structure. So, I am probably (obviously) not the only one with this opinion.

>

> Best regards,

> Dirk.

>
