

---

Subject: Re: [railML3] Concept Location: RTM location association to railML entity  
Posted by [christian.rahmig](#) on Mon, 06 Aug 2018 09:55:44 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Dear Felix,

Am 05.07.2018 um 10:35 schrieb Felix Prüter:

- > Dear all,
- > I might be wrong but to me it (associations from rtm to
- > railml classes) seems to be still there, isn't it?
- >
- > Please have a look on a screenshot of the aforementioned
- > diagram in the current railML v3.1 beta model:
- > [https://www.signon-group.de/signoncloud/index.php/s/PvAuBc9E\\_Pn4VJTj](https://www.signon-group.de/signoncloud/index.php/s/PvAuBc9E_Pn4VJTj)
- >
- > Kind regards

The class Entity is not a RTM class, but derived from  
RTM\_LocatedNetEntity. Therefore, the extension (references to  
GmlLocations etc.) is made from a railML class and not from a RTM class.

From content point of view you are right: While RTM does not have  
references to specific location extensions like GML or track, railML  
model has. However, considering the introduction of length information  
in RTM NetElement as described in forum entry [1], a reference to  
"trackPosition" and "trackSection" is no longer needed and can be  
removed from the model. Further, in forum entry [2] I suggested to  
introduce NetworkLocation as new RTM EntityLocation sub-class.

[1] <https://www.railml.org/forum/index.php?t=msg&th=579&start=0&>

[2] <https://www.railml.org/forum/index.php?t=msg&th=598&start=0&>

Best regards  
Christian

--

Christian Rahmig - Infrastructure scheme coordinator  
railML.org (Registry of Associations: VR 5750)  
Phone Coordinator: +49 173 2714509; railML.org: +49 351 47582911  
Altplauen 19h; 01187 Dresden; Germany [www.railml.org](http://www.railml.org)

---