Subject: Re: Infrastructure registers
Posted by   on Wed, 28 Mar 2018 09:03:01 GMT

Dear Christian,

thank you addressing me as a speaker for all the railML community (which I am, of course, not).

> railML.org cannot guarantee that the content of the register mentioned is suitable for the purpose of exchange.

This was not the point nor the intention behind my last message.

Rather, railML.org has a set of register values like "DB640", "RL100" etc. which are clearly intended for the use at <ocp>. Please note: The value for the attribute "register=", _not_ the contents of a DB 640 or a Ril 100!

I do not expect railML.org to be responsible for the contents of such registers.

I do only prefer railML.org to formally ensure that the enumeration values for the attribute "register=" can only be set to registers which are clearly intended to be used at exactly this occurrence of "register", namely at <ocp>s, not for that at <line>s.

Dirk.