
Subject: Re: How to represent open circulations in railML?
Posted by [Andreas Tanner](#) on Mon, 26 Feb 2018 11:22:40 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hello everybody,

agreed. Here

<https://wiki.railml.org/index.php?title=TT:circulation&oldid=7451&diff=cur>
is a proposal to clarify the documentation for the circulation element.
Is this acceptable?

Best regards, Andreas.

Am 18.12.2017 um 08:02 schrieb Joachim Rubröder:

> Dear Leopold
>
> Between these two alternatives I agree with Dirk and would
> vote for the first one because it is more explicit.
> In ever such cases I would prefer a clear and easy
> understandable structure in spite of a possible redundancy.
>
> With best regards,
> Joachim Rubröder
>