Subject: Re: Infrastructure registers Posted by christian.rahmig on Fri, 15 Dec 2017 18:51:40 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Dear all,

based on the feedback I got in direct talks with some of you and from the coordinators' meeting last Tuesday I propose the following solution to the topic:

We remain with one codelist Registers.xml that is open for putting any kind of existing railway related register (OCP, Assets, etc.), e.g. "Dienststellendokumentation" or the "Primary Location Code for TAF/TAP TSI". Every register can be identified by a unique code, e.g. "DIDOK" or "PLC" for the abovementioned registers. We don't distinguish different types of registers as the categorization of registers is not 100% clear in every case. What we may think of instead is adding a field for putting a link or a contact address, so that interested people can be re-directed in finding out more information about the referenced register on their own.

What do you think about this proposal?

Best regards Christian

Am 20.11.2017 um 16:19 schrieb Christian Rahmig:

> Dear all,

>

> the described problem may be solved with version 2.4. The related Trac

> ticket #310 is available in [1].

>

- > I would like to have your feedback on answering the main question: Do
- > you prefer having one codelist including all the different existing
- > registers for providing codes and designators to railway infrastructure
- > elements, or would you like to have separated codelists?

>

> [1] https://trac.railml.org/ticket/310

>

- > Thank you very much and best regards
- > Christian

>

> Am 29.05.2017 um 14:03 schrieb Christian Rahmig:

>> Dear Dirk,

>>

>> Am 18.05.2017 um 15:46 schrieb Dirk Bräuer:

>>> [...]

>>> From my understanding, the kind of register is (adequately) specified by >>> the parent element of <designator>. If it is a <designator> of an <ocp>, >>> the station registers are needed. If it is a <designator> of a different >>> parent element, a different register is needed. >>> >>> As is can also happen to have different registers as sub-elements of >>> <infrastructure> in future (such as registers of line numbers), I would >>> not recommend naming the station lists @infrastructureRegister. I would >>> name them @ocpRegister. But this would, as I said, be redundant to the >>> parent's element name. >> >> thank you very much for your feedback. The idea behind was to >> distinguish between registers of the different railML sub-domains >> (infrastructure, timetable, etc.). You are absolutely right with your >> statement that there are different types of infrastructure related >> registers, e.g. for OCPs and for asset management. Therefore, I suggest >> to add a new attribute @type in the codelist InfrastructureRegisters.xml >> (before: Registers.xml). Using this attribute, it shall be possible to >> distinguish between OCP registers, and other infrastructure registers. >> The result in InfrastructureRegisters.xml may look like this: >> >> <register code="DfA"> >> <name xml:lang="de-CH">Datenbank Feste Anlagen</name> >> <organization xml:lang="en">Swiss Federal Railways on behalf of >> >> Federal Office of Transport</organization> <type>assets</type> >> </register> >> >> >> <register code="DIDOK"> <name xml:lang="en">List of station names</name> >> <organization xml:lang="en">Swiss Federal Railways on behalf of >> Federal Office of Transport</organization> >> <type>ocp</type> >> >> </register> >> >> <register code="DB640"> <name xml:lang="de-AT">Dienstbehelf Nr. 640</name> >> <organization xml:lang="de-AT">OBB</organization> >> <type>all</type> >> >> </register> >> >> The question to be answered: what kind of infrastructure related >> registers have to be specififed. For the initial version I suggest the >> following types:

- >> * asset
- >> * ocp
- >> * networkStatement

```
>> * all (?)
>>
    Any comments etc. appreciated...
>>
    Best regards
>> Christian
>>
>
```

Christian Rahmig - Infrastructure scheme coordinator railML.org (Registry of Associations: VR 5750) Phone Coordinator: +49 173 2714509; railML.org: +49 351 47582911 Altplauen 19h; 01187 Dresden; Germany www.railml.org

```
Page 3 of 3 ---- Generated from Forum
```
