
Subject: Re: Semantic of @type in <track> and mapping to national usage?

Posted by [Christoph Klaus](#) on Mon, 21 Aug 2017 14:07:41 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hi Vasco,

the regulations for train operations (Ril 408.0101A01) give the following definitions:

Durchgehendes Hauptgleis: Main tracks of the line and their continuation in the station.

Hauptgleis: Tracks which are driven by trains regularly.

Nebengleis: Tracks which are not driven by trains regularly.

So the current explanation of secondaryTrack in the railML wiki ("This is a track not frequently used.") should be expanded for describing "nicht-durchgehendes Hauptgleis".

The same counts for sidingTrack in the meaning of "Nebengleis".

I hope this feedback is helpful for you.

Best regards,
Christoph Klaus

DB Netz AG
Zentrale / Technik- und Anlagenmanagement STE
Caroline-Michaelis-Straße 5-11, 10115 Berlin

Am 06.06.2017 um 15:41 schrieb Vasco Paul Kolmorgen:

- > === German usage / Deutsche Anwendung ===
- >
- > The usage in Germany is currently AFAIK:
- > * Durchgehendes Hauptgleis; pro Betriebsstelle immer Eines bei je
- > Eingleisiger und Zwei je zweigleisiger Strecken, mit Zugstraße
- > * Hauptgleis; alle anderen Gleise mit mindestens einer Zugstraße
- > * Nebengleis; alle anderen Gleise ohne Zugstraßen, nur Rangierfahrten
- > * Gleisverbindung; Gleise ohne eigenen Verkehrswert, in
- > Weichenverbindungen, Überleitungen
- >
- > The mapping for mainTrack to Deutschland:durchgehendes Hauptgleis is
- > nearby, but what's with the others:
- > * secondaryTrack: This is a track not frequently used (e. g. for
- > overtaking only).
- > * connectingTrack: This is a transfer track from one to another track
- > e. g. in branches, points or cross overs.
- > * sidingTrack: This is a siding.
- > * stationTrack: This is a track in a station, possibly with plattformen.
- > * other:anything Any value that does not fit any value from the

- > previous enumeration list, fulfilling the constraint: at minimum two
 - > characters, whitespace is not allowed.
 - >
 - > Any opinions for railML® 2.x and for a refactoring in railML® 3.x?
 - >
 - > Best regards,
-