

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Dear Philip,

the fact that the attribute has not been used so far does not mean that there is no demand on it. I think simply the programming lags behind several years. That's normal.

I can remember that there was an original problem to introduce this attribute and a lengthy discussion between <TT> developers at a meeting. I want to respect the earlier decision. I think this is important also in general, to give the developers stability and "protection of investment".

However, if you want to waive the attribute, that means to allow departureDay<>0 at first <ocpTT>. No problem of technology from my side, but as I said, there are several infrastructure managers who do not allow it in their data models. So could we please clarify first what ERA says to this problem in their recommendations? I think it is not reasonable to let railML walk into a different solution than ERA.

Best regards, Dirk.