
Subject: Re: Infrastructure registers

Posted by on Thu, 18 May 2017 13:46:42 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dear Christian,

sorry but I don't understand the necessity. May be you can further explain?

From my understanding, the kind of register is (adequately) specified by the parent element of <designator>. If it is a <designator> of an <ocp>, the station registers are needed. If it is a <designator> of a different parent element, a different register is needed.

As is can also happen to have different registers as sub-elements of <infrastructure> in future (such as registers of line numbers), I would not recommend naming the station lists @infrastructureRegister. I would name them @ocpRegister. But this would, as I said, be redundant to the parent's element name.

I agree that the file "Registers.xml" should not be named such in future. It should be named "Registers_of_OCPs.xml" or such. But this does not need to have consequences for the XSD, does it?

Best regards,
Dirk.
