
Subject: Mandatory stops e.g. before a level crossing (was: Obligational stop)

Posted by [christian.rahmig](#) on Wed, 05 Apr 2017 11:48:19 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dear all,

as there has not been a final solution to the topic, I want to re-animate the discussion here in the forum:

Am 11.03.2013 um 14:38 schrieb Susanne Wunsch:

> [...]

>

> I summarized the new arguments in the appropriate Trac ticket. [1]

>

> During the last railML conference (2013-03-06) in Berlin the use case for "mandatory stop" was questioned.

>

> The described scenario is very specific to the German railway operations. In order to avoid country-specific operation rules in the context of the more general railML data exchange, the current implementation should be removed.

>

> As a short-term solution, the partners may use any-Attributes instead.

>

> An implementation following the idea of an operational stop would be preferred to the current implementation as a "speedChange".

>

> Any comments* appreciated.

>

> [1] <http://trac.assembla.com/railML/ticket/100#comment:4>

> * +1, -1, hints, questions...

>

The main question to be answered:

Shall a mandatory stop e.g in front of a level crossing be modelled using the current solution with <speedChange>@mandatoryStop [boolean] or shall we define an operational point there?

I would like to get this topic clarified with the upcoming version 2.4.

Best regards

Christian

--

Christian Rahmig - Infrastructure scheme coordinator

railML.org (Registry of Associations: VR 5750)

Phone Coordinator: +49 173 2714509; railML.org: +49 351 47582911

Altplauen 19h; 01187 Dresden; Germany www.railml.org
