
Subject: Re: IRS 30100 wording remarks

Posted by [christian.rahmig](#) on Fri, 19 Aug 2016 14:14:12 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dear Felix!

Your points were analysed by members of the RTM expert group. The question concerning "ch 6.3.7, 2nd sentence" seems to be a misunderstanding and will be addressed by clarifying the documentation. The other items will be updated along your line of reasoning.

Best regards
Christian

Am 23.06.2016 um 21:28 schrieb Felix Prüter:

- > Hello,
- > here are some more wording remarks to the IRS 30100:
- >
- > p.30, last note:
- > the part after the last comma seems superfluously
- >
- > ch 6.2.11, 2nd par:
- > the description about the relation between
- > PositioningNetElement und AssociatedPositioningElement is
- > wrong.
- > APS is contained by PNE!
- >
- > ch 6.3.6:
- > defines the generic concept of a coordinate in a POSITIONING
- > (not referencing) system
- >
- > ch 6.3.7, 2nd sentence:
- > Should be two sentences
- > 1. value range of attribute intrinsicCoord 0..1
- > 2. Arbitrary amount of associated
- > PositioningSystemCoordinate instances
- >
- > ch 6.4.5:
- > in reference to one OR MORE PositioningNetElement
- > according to Figure 72 only ONE!
- >
- > ch 6.4.5:
- > Attribute applicationDirection: direction of the
- > LINEARLOCATION?
- > better: LinearElement?
- >
- > ch 6.4.9:
- > between a LinearLocation and PositioningSystemCoordinate

> INSTANCES (is missing)
>
> Kind regards
> Felix
> SIGNON Deutschland GmbH
>

--

Christian Rahmig
railML.infrastructure coordinator
