The problem was explained by Dirk Bräuer and the issue was discussed again in the railML's Timetable developer meeting in Berlin on June 2nd, 2016.

Conclusion: As a solution in a (possibly) upcoming railML 2.x is not urgent as a <any> field was used by the proposer. The issue has to be take into consideration for the refactoring in railML 3.x and -if not solved by the refactoring process- to be discussed again.

Regards,
--
Vasco Paul Kolmorgen
railML.org Coordinator
Dresden; Germany   www.railml.org