
Subject: Re: new attribute on the vehicle element
Posted by [Joerg von Lingen](#) on Fri, 04 Oct 2013 07:42:22 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dear all,

wrt UIC numbers I would propose to add an attribute <uicVehicleNumber> for the <operator>-element of a vehicle:

```
<xs:simpleType name="tUicVehicleNumber">
  <xs:restriction base="xs:string">
    <xs:pattern
value=" [1-9][0-9]-[1-9][0-9]-[1-9][0-9][1-9][0-9]-[1-9][0-9][1-9]-[ 0-9] " />
    </xs:restriction>
  </xs:simpleType>
```

This shall allow numbers with the following meaning:

The complete vehicle number comprises 12 digits. The individual digits have the following meaning:

Digits 1-2: Type of vehicle and indication of the interoperability capacity
(on multiple units, type)

Digits 3-4: Country Code (Owner before 2006)

Digits 5-8: Vehicle type information

Digits 9-11: Individual running number (serial number)

Digit 12: Self-check digit

Best Jörg.

On 23.09.2013 13:07, Joerg von Lingen wrote:

> Dear all,

>

> we had already discussed the issue of UIC numbers for vehicles. The major point of discussion was whether the number

> will stay with the vehicle during its entire lifetime or changes with the owner/operator. There we couldn't reach

> clarification.

>

> This question was of importance as to where storing the UIC-number in the tree. I would prefer to place it under

> Classification/Operator because we then have a clear information of the time period the number is assigned to that

> vehicle. At the moment the string element <operatorClass> can be used in that sense.

However, I would go for a more

> stringent definition which shall be then cover all types of vehicles (wagons and loco).

>

> I will try to provide a proposal on this within the next weeks.

>

> Best Jörg.

>
> Susanne Wunsch wrote the following on 18.09.2013 00:46:
>> Hello Horst,
>>
>> I move the request to the rollingstock group. The 'vehicle' element is
>> part of the rollingstock sub-schema. I'm sure, Jörg (RS coordinator)
>> will provide some feedback. He already worked on parts of the UIC wagon
>> classification.
>>
>> horst.naujoks@qnamic.com (Horst Naujoks) writes:
>>
>>> Dear all,
>>>
>>> I'm a developer at Qnamic AG
>>> (<http://http://www.railml.org//index.php/entwickler.html?show=35>) and
>>> we using RailML in different contexts in our products.
>>>
>>> I'd like to submit the following extension to the railML
>>> infrastructure schema:
>>>
>>> The element <vehicle> should be completed by an new optional attribute
>>> 'uicVehicleNumber'. The whole purpose of this number is documented
>>> here: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/UIC_wagon_numbers. The new
>>> attribute supposed as an explicit supplement to the existing
>>> id/code/name attribute facility. It should support a better data
>>> exchange in systems which share this number.
>>>
>>> Today, we already employ this attribute by the usage of a schema
>>> extension (as an 'any' attribute) and of course we'd like to replace
>>> this construct by an explicitly specified attribute.
>>>
>>> Kind Regards,
>>>
>>> Horst Naujoks
>>
>> Crosspost & Followup-To: railML.rollingstock
>>
>> Kind regards...
>> Susanne
>>
>

--
Best regards,
Joerg v. Lingen

Rollingstock Coordinator
