
Subject: Re: roles

Posted by [Joachim Rubröder railML](#) on Tue, 12 Feb 2013 12:10:18 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hi,

> +1 for infrastructureManager and vehicleManufacturer. Regarding the
> vehicleOperator, I would think that binding to trainPart should be
> possible for the case that at a certain planning stage, formations are
> abstract but the assignment to the operator is already known.

We are getting closer. The proper binding list for a trainPart now looks like that:

- * vehicleOperator
- * customer
- * railwayUndertaking
- * operationalUndertaking
- * concessionaire
- * contractor (general usage)
- * any (extensions)

Kind regards,
Joachim

Joachim Rubröder
Schema Coordinator: railML.timetable

--

-----== posted via PHP Headliner ==-----
