Subject: Identification in the XML list files and its references (was: small issues on "register" and "tLineInfrastructureManagerCode")
Posted by Susanne Wunsch railML on Thu, 06 Dec 2012 10:29:03 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Dear Dirk, Christian and others,

I would like to discuss the general content structure of the separate XML list file in the 'misc' forum, because it concerns all sub-schemas.

The separate XML list files should be an easier to maintain replacement for schema-internal enumeration lists.

I will change the already proposed example a bit taking care of the parallel discussion about attribute- or element-centric XML styles. Please don't discuss this issue here. The following XML structure may be easy changed into an attribute-centric one, if that comes as consensus from the neighbouring thread.

```
<registers xmlns="http://www.railml.org/lists">
 <register id="d1e3">
  <version code="ENEE">
   <name>European Railway Location Database</name>
   <validity/>
   <remarks/>
  </version>
 </register>
 <register id="d1e51">
  <version code="RL100">
   <name>Richtlinie 100</name>
   <validity begin="xxxx"/>
   <remarks/>
  </version>
  <version code="DS100">
   <name>Drucksache 100</name>
   <validity begin="1951" end="xxxx"/>
   <remarks/>
  </version>
  <version code="DV100">
   <name>Dienstvorschrift 100</name>
   <validity end="1951"/>
   <remarks/>
  </version>
 </register>
</registers>
```

<sup>\*</sup> Each register would be identified by a file-wide unique 'id' attribute.

\* Each version of a register would be identified by a domain-specific 'code' attribute. This is not a unique string.

The purpose of this list is to constraint the strings for the same register name, e.g.

```
recommend "RL100", not to use "Ril100", "KoRil100", "Ril 100"...
```

But how to use it?

For the following code snippets the <ocp> context is assumed.

\* Should a railML file be meaningful without this list file?

That would mean to refer to the /meaningful/ 'code' value, that is not unique.

```
<designator register="RL100" entry="..."/>
```

\* Should a railML file be meaningful only with knowledge of the list file, only in cases, where its attributes are used?

That would mean to refer to the \_unique\_ but not /meaningful/ 'id' value.

```
<designator registerRef="registers.xml#d1e51" entry="..."/>
```

\* Should both possibilities be provided? If the list file is present, it may be looked up for further details, if not, the value is /meaningful/ anyway.

That would mean to refer to both values.

```
<designator register="RL100" registerRef="registers.xml#d1e51" entry="..."/>
```

Any comments, questions, concerns, +1, -1 ... appreciated.

Kind regards...
Susanne

Crosspost & Followup-To: railML.misc

Susanne Wunsch

Schema Coordinator: railML.common