

---

Subject: Re: roles

Posted by [Andreas Tanner](#) on Tue, 13 Nov 2012 07:48:05 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

ese dates are anchored in the

>> common part, I would understand that the /licence/ or similar of those

>> units is restricted. But probably, what one wants to restrict is the

>> /binding/. So I would move the restriction to that location.

>

> Yes, thanks for pointing this out. Let's drop the dates, too.

>

> Kind regards...

> Susanne

>

The requirement of a date was brought in by Joerg von Lingen. I would not drop it but anchor it with the binding. Otherwise, to me the proposal looks fine. We should agree on a list of "predefined" roles (the subelements of <organizationalUnits>) that are sufficiently general, including their German pendants and a description for the wiki.

Here is a suggestion:

contractor (Auftraggeber) : The authority or enterprise that ordered the transportation service, i.e., an integrated transit system

railwayUndertaking (Verkehrsunternehmen) : The undertaking that is commercially responsible for the service

vehicleOperator, in IVU language this would be subcontractor (Fremdunternehmer) : The railway undertaking that operates the vehicle, if different from the one that is responsible for the commercial service

- concessionaire (Konzessionsinhaber) ?? Not sure, we use it for bus transport.

- operator (Betreiber) - the unit that is responsible for the operational planning of this service

infrastructureManager (Infrastrukturbetreiber) ...

vehicleManufacturer (Fahrzeughersteller) ...

Best, Andreas.

---