Hi Dirk,

I'm sorry, I don't understand the focus of this posting.

Dirk Bräuer <dirk.braeuer@irfp.de> writes:

> Am 12.11.2012, 10:14 Uhr, schrieb Susanne Wunsch <coord@common.railml.org>:
>
> Am 18.10.2012, 11:44 Uhr, schrieb Dirk Bräuer <dirk.braeuer@irfp.de>:
>> I would prefer not to have pre-defined elements for them at
>> <trainPart>.

I really prefer the pre-defined element list with the background of
systematic XML validation options.

>> Rather, I could imagine a general enumerable list of "<contractor>"
>> or "<partner>" with an attribute for "kind of contractual
>> relationship" =

I already asked for the difference between 'contractor' and 'partner'
but got no satisfactory answer.

>> Aufgabenträger/Auftraggeber/Subauftragnehmer/Caterer...

If you need the appropriate element names which you already offered in
German, I give it a try:

  Aufgabenträger: Transport organisation authority (AOT)
  Auftraggeber: Customer
  Subauftragnehmer: Subcontractor
  Caterer: Caterer :-)

I would, of course, extend the element's list with these names if they
really match the needed semantics.

Please ask further for any open points.

Kind regards...
Susanne

--

Susanne Wunsch
Schema Coordinator: railML.common