Dear Susanne and Andreas,

> Other opinions, comments, remarks...?

If it is deemed necessary to keep the addresses, phone numbers a.s.o. of
such companies in RailML, I would also (like Andreas) not repeat them at
each <trainPart> nor <vehicle>. So, I agree that we should create a
central "address" list and make cross-references (ref's) to that list _if_
we want to handle them in RailML.

Since there are more possible kinds of companies as
> - contractor (Auftraggeber)
> - subcontractor (Fremdunternehmer)
> - concessionaire (Konzessionsinhaber)
> - operator (Betreiber)

(possibly "Aufgabenträger" but also "catering contractor...") I would
prefer not to have pre-defined elements for them at <trainPart>. Rather, I
could imagine a general enumerable list of "<contractor>" or "<partner>"
with an attribute for "kind of contractual relationship" =
Aufgabenträger/Auftraggeber/Subauftragnehmer/Caterer...

Probably we will first introduce this principle at <trainPart> but later
extend it for <vehicles>, IMs, TOCs. So the "central address list" (list
of "roles") should probably not be situated at <timetable>.

Best regards,
Dirk.