
Subject: [railML3.2]: AssetsForInterlocking
Posted by [Joerg von Lingen](#) on Thu, 25 Jun 2020 05:31:00 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dear all,

in the beta of railML3.1 interlocking there was a superior container for assetsForInterlocking. However, this was removed as there was the opinion never having more than one list of assets in one railML file.

There is one aspect which we did not consider - having more than one infrastructure manager related to the area the file is covering. The infrastructure managers shall be named in the common part and referred to from the definition of specifics of that infrastructure manager, i.e. in `<specificInfrastructureManager>`. The latter element has a child for reference to valid lists of assets. So if we have more than one infrastructure manager to consider for the area of that railML file then we need to have more than one list of assets in that file. The split of listed assets will allow clear relation between an asset and its manager.

The need of more than one infrastructure manager applicable arise in case of a border station like "Brenner".

--

Best regards,
Joerg v. Lingen - Rollingstock Coordinator
