
Subject: [railML3] Split Structure of AssetsForInterlocking
Posted by [Jörg von Lingen](#) on Sat, 13 Jun 2020 12:47:41 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dear all,

currently we have one container in IL part taking a lot of different child elements called <assetsForInterlocking>. As the number of childs may further increase I would suggest to split this container in at least three new ones according the already defined classes:

- <trackAssetsForInterlocking>
- <routeObjectsForInterlocking>
- <systemAssetsForInterlocking>

This would mean a breach of compatibility with the existing railML3.1-IL. As a result of responses to the posts "Abbreviations in element names" this compatibility does not exist due to changes in element names.

What is your opinion on the issue? Shall we do this restructuring for better clarity?

--

Best regards,
Joerg v. Lingen - Interlocking Coordinator
