Subject: Harmonize rolenames for association between *Location and *AssociatedNetElement
Posted by Felix Prüter on Tue, 03 Jul 2018 06:00:38 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Dear RTM-Community,
by studying the "new" RTMv1.1 UML Location package I recognized a mismatch in rolenames.
The rolename of OrderedAssociatedNetElement(s) for a LinearLocation is associatedElements
The rolenname of AssociatedNetElement(s) for an AreaLocation is associatedNetElements

Do you agree that this should be harmonizes for "code styling reasons"?

Kind regards

Subject: Re: Harmonize rolenames for association between *Location and *AssociatedNetElement
Posted by Airy Magnien on Thu, 12 Jul 2018 14:08:13 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

In principle yes (if I may paraphrase Radio Yerevan).
In practice, there may be an even more compelling reason for harmonizing, as the class Location::OrderedAssociatedNetElement is very likely to disappear from the next minor version (RTM 1.2), in favour of an ordered relation with AssociatedNetElement. This change should be confirmed shortly.

Subject: Re: Harmonize rolenames for association between *Location and *AssociatedNetElement
Posted by Felix Prüter on Thu, 12 Jul 2018 18:11:36 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Ok.
I will wait for that.

Subject: Re: Harmonize rolenames for association between *Location and *AssociatedNetElement
Posted by christian.rahmig on Mon, 06 Aug 2018 13:59:15 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Dear Felix,

from railML side I agree with you in having both rolenames synchronized as "associatedNetElements".

Best regards

Christian

--
Christian Rahmig - Infrastructure scheme coordinator
railML.org (Registry of Associations: VR 5750)
Phone Coordinator: +49 173 2714509; railML.org: +49 351 47582911
Altplauen 19h; 01187 Dresden; Germany   www.railml.org

Am 03.07.2018 um 08:00 schrieb Felix Prüter:
> Dear RTM-Community,
> by studying the "new" RTMv1.1 UML Location package I
> recognized a mismatch in rolenames.
> The rolename of OrderedAssociatedNetElement(s) for a
> LinearLocation is associatedElements
> The rolenname of AssociatedNetElement(s) for an AreaLocation
> is associatedNetElements
>
> Do you agree that this should be harmonizes for "code
> styling reasons"?
>
> Kind regards

Subject: Re: Harmonize rolenames for association between *Location and *AssociatedNetElement
Posted by Airy Magnien on Thu, 16 Aug 2018 08:24:38 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

This one is taken on board for the next release, together with a more significant change regarding linear locations.

Subject: Re: Harmonize rolenames for association between *Location and *AssociatedNetElement
Posted by christian.rahmig on Mon, 17 Sep 2018 11:41:34 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Dear RTM Export Group,

Am 16.08.2018 um 10:24 schrieb Airy Magnien:
> This one is taken on board for the next release, together
> with a more significant change regarding linear locations.

can you please clarify your decision on this issue:
* Which future version (1.2 or 2) are you talking about?
* Will the suggested change be implemented with that new version or will

it be a matter of dicsussion then?

Thank you very much and best regards
Christian

--
Christian Rahmig - Infrastructure scheme coordinator
railML.org (Registry of Associations: VR 5750)
Phone Coordinator: +49 173 2714509; railML.org: +49 351 47582911
Altplauen 19h; 01187 Dresden; Germany   www.railml.org