Subject: How to represent open circulations in railML? Posted by on Fri, 17 Nov 2017 15:20:15 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Dear railML Timetable Community!

When defining an rostering with an open (non-cyclic) circulation of blocks, there are two different possibilities to model them in railML.

Either with an final open circulation Element at the end:

<circulations>

<circulation blockRef="b00380" operatingPeriodRef="op0103" nextBlockRef="b00381"
nextOperatingPeriodRef="op0104"/>

<circulation blockRef="b00381" operatingPeriodRef="op0104" nextBlockRef="b00382"
nextOperatingPeriodRef="op0105"/>

<circulation blockRef="b00382" operatingPeriodRef="op0105" nextBlockRef="b00383"
nextOperatingPeriodRef="op0106"/>

<circulation blockRef="b00383" operatingPeriodRef="op0106" nextBlockRef="b00384"
nextOperatingPeriodRef="op0107"/>

<circulation blockRef="b00384" operatingPeriodRef="op0107"/>

</circulations>

So you can see in the example there is a final circulation with no nextBlockRef and nextOperatingPeriodRef attributes. But in my point of view this last circulation element is redundant and may be left out - like the following example depicts:

<circulations>

<circulation blockRef="b00380" operatingPeriodRef="op0103" nextBlockRef="b00381"
nextOperatingPeriodRef="op0104"/>

<circulation blockRef="b00381" operatingPeriodRef="op0104" nextBlockRef="b00382"
nextOperatingPeriodRef="op0105"/>

<circulation blockRef="b00382" operatingPeriodRef="op0105" nextBlockRef="b00383"
nextOperatingPeriodRef="op0106"/>

<circulation blockRef="b00383" operatingPeriodRef="op0106" nextBlockRef="b00384"
nextOperatingPeriodRef="op0107"/>

</circulations>

As well I have read the railML-Wiki entry on circulations

(http://wiki.railml.org/index.php?title=TT:circulation. There I found following section on this question:

"There exists a <circulation> element for every block on every operational day. Via the attributes nextBlockRef and nextOperatingperiodRef the blocks are connected to a chain and form a rostering. ..."

I am not quit sure how to interpret this phrase. Is it sufficient to refer the final block and operatingPeriod in an nextBlockRef and nextOperatingPeriodRef attribute, or do I have to add an additional circulation element at the end with a blockRef and operatingPeriodRef pointing to the last block and operatingPeriod?

Subject: Re: How to represent open circulations in railML? on Thu, 23 Nov 2017 11:55:46 GMT Posted by

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Dear Leopold,

> Is it sufficient to refer the final block and operatingPeriod in an nextBlockRef and nextOperatingPeriodRef attribute, or do I have to add an additional circulation element at the end with a blockRef and operatingPeriodRef pointing to the last block and operatingPeriod?

Currently, as far as I know, there is only one usage of railML rostering for open circulations: The last block has a (redundant) < circulation > element without /nextBlockRef/ and without /nextOperatingPeriodRef/ attributes.

> But in my point of view this last circulation element is redundant and may be left out...

Yes, I agree, but this is apparently a bit too "indirect", implicit. However, as far as I am concerned, it was not the intention when the current structures were designed.

A closed circulation was regarded as the "normal" case - hence the word "circulation". The "open circulation" (which may be regarded as no circulation at all) was seen as a special case which is derived from the normal case. In a closed circulation, every block needs a <circulation> element. Therefore, to ease usage of structures and uniqueness, also in an "open circulation" every block should have a <circulation> element.

One could discuss whether a closed circulation is really the "normal" case; actually there are arguments against it. The question may be whether the alternative usage you describe is shall be valid railML or not. Currently, for the sake of compatibility, I would vote for "not valid", in spite of the obvious redundancy.

With best regards, Dirk.

Am 17.11.2017 um 16:20 schrieb Leopold Kühschelm:

- > Dear railML Timetable Community!
- > When defining an rostering with an open (non-cyclic) circulation of blocks, there are two different possibilities to model them in railML.
- > Either with an final open circulation Element at the end:
- >

```
<circulations>
>
         <circulation blockRef="b00380" operatingPeriodRef="op0103"</p>
>
nextBlockRef="b00381" nextOperatingPeriodRef="op0104"/>
         <circulation blockRef="b00381" operatingPeriodRef="op0104"</p>
nextBlockRef="b00382" nextOperatingPeriodRef="op0105"/>
         <circulation blockRef="b00382" operatingPeriodRef="op0105"</p>
nextBlockRef="b00383" nextOperatingPeriodRef="op0106"/>
         <circulation blockRef="b00383" operatingPeriodRef="op0106"</p>
nextBlockRef="b00384" nextOperatingPeriodRef="op0107"/>
         <circulation blockRef="b00384" operatingPeriodRef="op0107"/>
>
>
      </circulations>
> So you can see in the example there is a final circulation with no nextBlockRef and
nextOperatingPeriodRef attributes. But in my point of view this last circulation element is
redundant and may be left out - like the following example depicts:
>
      <circulations>
>
         <circulation blockRef="b00380" operatingPeriodRef="op0103"</p>
nextBlockRef="b00381" nextOperatingPeriodRef="op0104"/>
         <circulation blockRef="b00381" operatingPeriodRef="op0104"</p>
nextBlockRef="b00382" nextOperatingPeriodRef="op0105"/>
         <circulation blockRef="b00382" operatingPeriodRef="op0105"</p>
nextBlockRef="b00383" nextOperatingPeriodRef="op0106"/>
         <circulation blockRef="b00383" operatingPeriodRef="op0106"</p>
nextBlockRef="b00384" nextOperatingPeriodRef="op0107"/>
      </circulations>
>
>
> As well I have read the railML-Wiki entry on circulations
(http://wiki.railml.org/index.php?title=TT:circulation). Ther I found following section on this
question:
>
> "There exists a < circulation > element for every block on every operational day. Via the
attributes nextBlockRef and nextOperatingperiodRef the blocks are connected to a chain and form
a rostering.
> ..."
> I am not guit sure how to interpret this phrase. Is it sufficient to refer the final block and
operatingPeriod in an nextBlockRef and nextOperatingPeriodRef attribute, or do I have to add an
additional circulation element at the end with a blockRef and operatingPeriodRef pointing to the
last block and operatingPeriod?
>
> Best regards,
> Leopold Kühschelm
```

Subject: Re: How to represent open circulations in railML? Posted by Joachim.Rubröder on Mon, 18 Dec 2017 07:02:37 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Dear Leopold

Between these two alternatives I agree with Dirk and would vote for the first one because it is more explicit.

In ever such cases I would prefer a clear and easy understandable structure in spite of a possible redundancy.

With best regards, Joachim Rubröder

Subject: Re: How to represent open circulations in railML? Posted by Andreas Tanner on Mon, 26 Feb 2018 11:22:40 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hello everybody,

agreed. Here

https://wiki.railml.org/index.php?title=TT:circulation&o ldid=7451&diff=cur is a proposal to clarify the documentation for the circulation element. Is this acceptable?

Best regards, Andreas.

Am 18.12.2017 um 08:02 schrieb Joachim Rubröder:

- > Dear Leopold
- >
- > Between these two alternatives I agree with Dirk and would
- > vote for the first one because it is more explicit.
- > In ever such cases I would prefer a clear and easy
- > understandable structure in spite of a possible redundancy.
- > With best regards,
- > Joachim Rubröder

>

>

Subject: Re: How to represent open circulations in railML? Posted by on Tue, 13 Mar 2018 09:36:38 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Dear Andreas,

I "internally" agree with all you have written. Means: I see no discrepancy in understanding.

I am not sure whether the writing is clear enough (and not missleading) for anybody who is new in railML circulation.

a) I would consider linking the "missing" /nextBlockRef/ attribute to the concept of "open" circulation plans, as the contrary of "closed" circulation plans which never have missing /nextBlockRef/s.

b) I think the sentence

"The presence of a circulation element that references this block via blockRef, in this case, merily expresses that fact that the block is considered as belonging to the roster." is a bit of an "understatement" because such a <circulation> element does not only express that the block belongs to the roster. It can also express at which day it is formed by which (nominal) vehicle - by its attributes /operatingPeriodRef/ and /vehicleCounter/.

Your write that such a circulation models a block that has no pre-/successor in the _linked_ chain (of this roster). This is formally true. But, in reality, it has of course a pre- and a successor, in the previous and following circulation plans. So actually the attribute /vehicleCounter/ is currently (with the current railML schemes) the only chance to find out _which_ vehicle exactly form this block (and unfortunately only an indirect kind). That's why I think such open <circulation> elements are still very important and not only "merely expressions".

I can write a suggestion for (a) later in case you do not want to do it now.

I want to ask you to extend or change your sentence for (b) if you agree with my argumentation.

With best regards, Dirk.