
Subject: role names on association ends

Posted by [Felix Prüter](#) on Thu, 23 Jun 2016 13:16:45 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hello,

I assume that all names on associations on the diagrams are role names.

If true, all these names should have a singular or plural ending corresponding the related multiplicity.

e.g.

SpotLocation --> PositioningNetElement: 1 netElement

LinearLocation --> PositioningNetElement: 1..* netElements

ComposuitionNetElement --> ElementPartCollection: 0..* elementCollections

Kind regards

Felix

SIGNON Deutschland GmbH

Subject: Re: role names on association ends

Posted by [christian.rahmig](#) on Mon, 27 Jun 2016 08:54:22 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dear Felix,

Am 23.06.2016 um 18:18 schrieb Felix Prüter:

- > Hello,
- > I assume that all names on associations on the diagrams are
- > role names.
- > If true, all these names should have a singular or plural
- > ending corresponding the related multiplicity.
- > e.g.
- > SpotLocation --> PositioningNetElement: 1 netElement
- > LinearLocation --> PositioningNetElement: 1..* netElements
- > ComposuitionNetElement --> ElementPartCollection: 0..*
- > elementCollections
- > [...]

Your assumption is correct. And we should keep it the same in the all the diagrams. However, in railML3 UML, the role name always describes one instance. For the XSD export, this results then in sth like this:

```
<linearLocation>
  <netElement ref="..." />
  <netElement ref="..." />
</linearLocation>
```

Here, netElement is the UML role name.

Best regards
Christian

--

Christian Rahmig
railML.infrastructure coordinator

Subject: Re: role names on association ends
Posted by [Felix Prüter](#) on Mon, 27 Jun 2016 12:00:20 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hi Christian,

> And we should keep it the same in the all
the diagrams.

So the singular form should be used everywhere!?

Regards
Felix

Subject: Re: role names on association ends
Posted by [Alain Jeanmaire](#) on Fri, 07 Oct 2016 08:57:04 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dear Felix,

to complete Christian's answer:

--> the best way is to use the singular or plural in accordance with the cardinality

Regards,
Alain Jeanmaire/Gilles Dessagne
SNCF Réseau
