
Subject: give us the derailer !

Posted by [bob.janssen](#) on Tue, 20 Jan 2015 13:37:36 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dear modellers,

the infrastructure object "derailer" slipped out of the IS schema. Apart from a message dating back to 2008, there's no trace of them in RailML but I'm quite sure derailers still exist in real life.

I considered defining a derailer element in the interlocking schema but it would seem that derailers primarily belong to infrastructure, e.g. for the sake of asset management.

I therefore plead for the reintroduction of this element in the IS-schema. The interlocking schema could then extend the derailer and add attributes proper to interlocking.

Yours,

Bob Janssen

--

----- posted via PHP Headliner -----

Subject: Re: give us the derailer !

Posted by [Christian Rahmig](#) on Mon, 09 Feb 2015 09:50:25 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dear Bob,

Am 20.01.2015 um 14:37 schrieb Bob Janssen:

> Dear modellers,

>

> the infrastructure object "derailer" slipped out of the IS schema. Apart
> from a message dating back to 2008, there's no trace of them in RailML but
> I'm quite sure derailers still exist in real life.

>

> [...]

The derailer is still an existing component in the infrastructure scheme, see [1]. It was introduced with railML 2.2 and you can find all the information about its parameters etc. in [2]. If you find any missing elements or attributes, please let me know.

[1]

<http://schemas.railml.org/2013/railML-2.2/documentation/rail>

ML.html#element_derailer_Link05F32D28

[2]

http://documents.railml.org/events/slides/2013-03-06_dlr_rah_mig-infrastructure.pdf

Best regards

--

Christian Rahmig
railML.infrastructure coordinator
