Subject: Borders of states, tarifs etc.
Posted by Christian Rahmig on Mon, 26 May 2014 10:35:33 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Dear everyone,

in trac ticket #242 (see [1]; scheduled for railML 2.3) it is written that an element for describing borders in the infrastructure is needed. However, we already have such an element. In particular:

railML contains already the type 'tBorder'. It looks like this:

```
<xs:complexType name="tBorder">
  <xs:complexContent>
  <xs:extension base="rail:tDelimitedOrientedElement">
    <xs:attribute name="type" type="rail:tBorderType" use="required"/>
    </xs:extension>
  </xs:complexContent>
</xs:complexType>
```

The contained data type 'tBorderType' defines borders of states, tarifs, etc.:

```
<xs:simpleType name="tBorderType">
 <xs:union>
   <xs:simpleType>
    <xs:restriction base="xs:string">
     <xs:enumeration value="tarif" />
     <xs:enumeration value="area" />
     <xs:enumeration value="state" />
     <xs:enumeration value="country" />
     <xs:enumeration value="station" />
    </xs:restriction>
   </xs:simpleType>
  <xs:simpleType>
    <xs:restriction base="rail:tOtherEnumerationValue" />
   </xs:simpleType>
 </xs:union>
</xs:simpleType>
```

So, what exactly is needed, that is not already covered by the current implementation? Any comments appreciated...

[1] https://trac.railml.org/ticket/242#comment:5

Best regards

--

Subject: Re: Borders of states, tarifs etc.
Posted by Christian Rahmig on Mon, 01 Jun 2015 09:19:58 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Dear railML IS users,

there have not been any requests from the community. Therefore, the Trac ticket #242 has been closed stating that the current implementation is sufficient. If you don't agree, please feel free to discuss.

Best regards Christian

Am 26.05.2014 um 12:35 schrieb Christian Rahmig:

- > Dear everyone,
- >
- > in trac ticket #242 (see [1]; scheduled for railML 2.3) it is written
- > that an element for describing borders in the infrastructure is needed.
- > [...]

>

- > So, what exactly is needed, that is not already covered by the current
- > implementation? Any comments appreciated...

>

> [1] https://trac.railml.org/ticket/242#comment:5

--

Christian Rahmig railML.infrastructure coordinator