Subject: Re: RFE for connection, DE:Anschluss
Posted by Joachim Rubröder railML   on Tue, 27 Nov 2012 15:48:17 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hello all,

> So we still wait for the decision of the scheme coordinator... ;-)
If you both agree, there are no objections from my side.

Susanne mentioned, that the railML semantics should be covered by all
systems.:
> That would mean, that already today a timetabling information
> system has to split train parts if the formation changes, nevertheless
> it does not know the formation type at all.

This would mean to use case a) as a restriction of case b).

> a) Reversing trains _must_ be splitted into several <trainParts>; the
> attribute 'trainReverse' is only allowed at fist <ocpTT>s.

If the attribute 'trainReverse' occurs, it occours at the first <ocpTT>
indicating the symbol <-> for FIS purposes. This can't be checked within
the xsd.

The order of the vehicles could be reverted by 'orientationReversed' but
with no relation to the attribute 'trainReversed'.

Best regards,
Joachim

--
Joachim Rubröder
Schema Coordinator: railML.timetable

--
----== posted via PHP Headliner ==----