Subject: Re: Mile post properties (was: Panels in general)
Posted by   on Fri, 19 Jul 2013 18:09:47 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

>   * Is a reference to mileageChanges needed?

I would prefer such a reference. The mile post panel may be situated at a
place where it should not be - some meters or more away from the
theoretical position. This is the normal case in Germany: At electrified
lines, they are mounted at the catenary support pylon. But catenary
support pylons do not necessarily stand at a difference of exactly 100 m
and also not at the integer hectometers.

You can normally read the exact position of the post written in small
digits at the bottom-right corner of the panel.

So w/o the reference to mileageChanges, it may be difficult to assign the
panel to the right mileage section. (In cases where one cannot deduce this
 from the mileage value at the post.)

By the way: You should clarify whether the relative position of the mile
post panel in RailML should be that one where it really stands or that one
which corresponds to the absolute value written on it. I assume that one
where it really stands.

>   Are there any mile post panels, that show both mileages (incoming
>   and outgoing)?
>
>   If that is true, a "mileageChangeRef" and a "nextShowValue"
>   attribute have to be added.

Yes, there are: I put it up for you at [1].

The mile post panel (which is on metric miles in this case - also know as
kilometers outside the British Empire) shows 72,760 = 72,634.

But please be _very_ careful with this picture: Please do not forward or
publish it, please respect the authorship. It is for NSA purposes only,
since it also shows some other highly confidential information which are
not common for the general public:

- Your name does not need to be Usain Bolt or such to walk 100 m in under
10 s. Here, everybody can do it even at rough ballasted railway sleepers..
If this would be generally known, the sales of doping drugs would slide
into free fall.

- The line to Eisenberg (Thüringen) is not really closed nor abandoned. On
the contrary, it even sees BR 612 operation with tilting technology.

For short: This photo is the proof that "tears in the space-time continuum" really happen, namely in Gera Hbf. Of course we all did already know that there must be something wrong with places such as Gera.

You will understand that I cannot keep such photos on-line for a longer period. So, if you read these lines sometime after they have been written, the tear in the space-time continuum may already be closed again.

With best regards,
Dirk.

Legend:
[1] http://download.irfp.de/UGera-120312-05028.jpg