
Subject: Re: Infrastructure registers

Posted by [christian.rahmig](#) on Fri, 18 Jan 2019 15:33:12 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dear all,

the topic remains open. For railML 3.1, we decided to keep the implementation like in railML 2.4. However, the ideas for changing the implementation are written down in the Trac ticket #310 [1], which has been moved to version 3.x. Still, any feedback is very much welcome.

[1] <https://trac.railml.org/ticket/310>

Best regards
Christian

Am 03.04.2018 um 10:48 schrieb Christian Rahmig:

> Dear Dirk,

>

>> I do only prefer railML.org to formally ensure that the enumeration
>> values for the attribute "register=" can only be set to registers
>> which are clearly intended to be used at exactly this occurrence of
>> "register", namely at <ocp>s, not for that at <line>s.

>

> Why do you want to have this clear separation?

> Will it help to rename the attribute <ocp>@register into

> <ocp>@ocpRegister? This may be useful in future if we extend the

> designator concept to lines, too, having an attribute

> <line>@lineRegister? Both attributes may point to registers listed in

> the codelist Registers.xml.

>

> Would this work for you?

>

> Best regards

> Christian

>

--

Christian Rahmig - Infrastructure scheme coordinator

railML.org (Registry of Associations: VR 5750)

Phone Coordinator: +49 173 2714509; railML.org: +49 351 47582911

Altplauen 19h; 01187 Dresden; Germany www.railml.org
